## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**As of:** September 28, 2015 **Received:** September 24, 2015

**Status:** Pending\_Post

**Tracking No.** 1jz-8lb4-afgn

Comments Due: September 24, 2015

**Submission Type:** Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-7731

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

## **Submitter Information**

Name: David Darner

## **General Comment**

Although well intended, as written your definition of "Fiduciary" will raise costs for participants and effectively damage

retirement portfolios due the increased liability, responsibilities, and restrictions you are wanting to implement. In addition,

removal of hedging strategies via options will leave investors vulnerable to market downturns which could wipe out gains quickly

and take years to recover. As written, this new rule will HURT my retirement as well as all investors.

Please work with those who know and understand what they are doing. Work with FSI and NAFA and other organizations who

understand their business.

We all want our portfolios protected. What you have proposed fails to do this and actually brings HARM to my retirement goals.

Cease with moving forward, pull it back, and take the comments to heart. You CAN bring about proper change with the correct

intent, but as it stands now, this rule will have the opposite effect of what you state you truly desire.